# Industrial Life Cycle Assessment for

# **Radical Emissions**

## Reductions

Alex Grant Principal, Jade Cove Partners ➤ ag@jadecove.com ⊕ www.jadecove.com/research ➤ alexjadecove

**Veloz: Creating Sustainable Practices from Mine to Wheel and Beyond** 29 October 2020



# My city on 9 September 2020. This is real.

### Take Me To Your Leader



"The embodied emissions of the materials you use to make the batteries are **significant and need to be understood**.

If you were to power all your EV manufacturing using coal, it would make no difference at the end of the day.

One thing we did when we built the Nevada Gigafactory was to **make it all electric**, there is literally no natural gas line, so there is little to no local emissions at that factory. When you weave natural gas through your facility, it makes it **much harder to chase it out**.

The emissions all the way back up to the mine are significant too.



4

When we look at TWh scales of production, we need to make sure we are not creating unintended consequences as we go through this industrial shift. **That is why we are in this situation in the first place**, and we need to rapidly remediate that." - JB Straubel Former Tesla CTO & Current Redwood Materials CEO

Quote from the Stanford Storage X webinar on 16 October 2020

Stanford ENERGY StorageX Initiative The embodied emissions of the materials you use to make the batteries are **significant and need to be understood**.



Buyers at battery companies have massive power today to guide their future  $CO_2$  emissions (which 97% do not yet exist) because there is massive variability in the  $CO_2$  emissions of manufacturing chemicals from different resources/processes



Considering only the materials above, what would be the **delta in CO\_2 intensity** of manufacturing if a procurement team bought all the **lowest CO\_2 intensity** chemicals vs. all the **highest CO\_2 intensity** chemicals to make an NMC 811 cell?

$$\Delta CO_2 = 55 \text{ kgCO}_2/\text{kWh}$$

This represents ~50% of the total embodied emissions of making the cell, and at **3TWh/year production**, is equal to Ireland + Switzerland + Portugal's **annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions combined** 



So, a team of 10 people in California have the power today to eliminate the emissions of three entire small European countries in 2030 using only their 2020s' procurement policy



## If you were to power all your EV manufacturing using coal, it would make no difference at the end of the day.



Comprehensive energy consumption per unit of product declined
 Lithium chemical sector (ton of standard coal per ton of LCE)
 2019
 2019
 2018
 2.3
 Lithium battery sector (ton/MWH)
 2019
 10.56
 reduced 6.7%
 2018
 11.32

Source: Major Chinese Lithium Company's Sustainability Report

Statistically meaningless difference presented deceptively for greenwashing One of the world's major  $LiOH \cdot H_2O$ suppliers, whose lithium values go into Tesla's cathode, burns > 2 tonnes of coal per tonne of LCE to process spodumene concentrates from Australia



US must turn China's environmental



### 

**Only 50%?** What's the point of this energy transition?

#### destruction to its advantage Regrettably, the Trump administration views environmental protection

**OPINION** 

Regrettably, the Trump administration views environmental protection as a weakness Jonathan E. Hillman

October 6, 2020 05:00 JST

China's domination of the lithium chemical manufacturing section of the value chain would be weakened if the price of their products included the price of dumping CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere... So why do Western lithium buyers representing the EV revolution buy Chinese lithium chemicals? One thing we did when we built the Nevada Gigafactory was to **make it all electric**, there is literally no natural gas line, so there is little to no local emissions at that factory. When you weave natural gas through your facility, it makes it **much harder to chase it out**.

**Be deliberate** about "chasing out" fossil fuels from your processing flowsheets

Electricity is much easier to decarbonize than heat – Solar and wind energy project development is **much more straightforward** than mineral project development

#### How does a heat pump work?





**Mechanical Vapor Recompression** (MVR) evaporators shift energy input from heat (harder to decarbonize) to power (easier to decarbonize)





#### The emissions all the way back up to the mine are significant too.





#### The future is electric

We are leading the change towards sustainability in mining through battery electric, zeroemission equipment. The result is a safer and healthier underground working environment. This contributes to a sustainable future and a smaller environmental footprint. It is a power change that changes everything.



There are a number of options for **electric mining** (and if battery metals are mined electrically, it's like the metals are mining themselves!)

That energy can be decarbonized using wind, solar, and other low carbon sources

When we look at TWh scales of production, we need to make sure we are not creating unintended consequences as we go through this industrial shift. That is why we are in this situation in the first place, and we need to rapidly remediate that.





Battery and EV industry, let this be your canary in the coal mine:

- 1. LCAs of LIBs are **dramatically underestimating** CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of your supply chains
- The efficacy of LIBs for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and avoiding climate change is not guaranteed unless we change the way we mine and process chemicals
- 3. Decarbonizing cell/battery assembly plants is almost irrelevant compared to decarbonizing battery chemical mining and processing the canary chirps "SCOPE 3"!

Why would we care about the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of battery chemical manufacturing if we didn't care about scope 3 emissions? **One woman's scope 2 is another woman's scope 3**.



 Data From:
 Mining &

 Argonne National Laboratory
 Pack Assembly
 Cell Assembly
 Active Materials
 Mining &

 MINVIRO
 2 kgCO2/kWh
 20 kgCO2/kWh
 21 kgCO2/kWh
 95 kgCO2/kWh

## **Case Study 1: Geothermal Lithium Projects**



We can kill two birds with one stone by producing low carbon energy and lithium chemicals simultaneously

## Assuming they are technically feasible (e.g. economic):

- Buyers need to give these projects off-take agreements
- 2. Investors need to finance these projects
- 3. Engineers need to build these projects



CO<sub>2</sub> Intensity of Manufacturing Battery-Quality Lithium Hydroxide from Different Sources

Pre-Commercial Scoping Study Stage with Power Offsets
 Pre-Commercial Feasibility Study Stage with Power Offsets
 Commercial Operation, Technical Grade, Not Battery Quality
 Commercial Operation

[5] Commercial Operation

## Everyone in the Lithium Industry Has a Role to Play



There are three classes of mining and chemical industry *homo sapiens* who can **make a big difference to avoid CO**<sub>2</sub> **emissions** of battery chemical extraction and processing:

#### 1. Engineers

- Reduce the fossil fuels you weave into your process by electrification (e.g. MVR, electric calcination, heat pump)
- Tap into concentrated solar, photovoltaics, geothermal, and wind for low CO<sub>2</sub> heat and power
- Don't design your process the same way your old employer did it: revisit 1<sup>st</sup> Principles to find better solutions

#### 2. Institutional Investors

- Don't invest in or lend to any new project that would produce  $\text{LiOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  emitting >5tCO<sub>2</sub>/tonneLCE
- Work with independent, professional life cycle assessment (LCA) practitioners to guide investment decisions

#### 3. Battery Chemical Buyers

- Require **ISO-compliant life cycle assessments** for the manufacture of all materials you buy to make your batteries and **set yourself targets** for supply chain CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction (e.g. don't buy >10tCO<sub>2</sub>/tonneLCE after January 2023)
- Modify your procurement decision making process by applying a synthetic \$100/tonne carbon tax to yourself
- Chase coal out of your battery supply chain by not buying any battery chemical with coal in its supply chain from 2023

# Industrial Life Cycle Assessment for

# **Radical Emissions**

## Reductions

Alex Grant Principal, Jade Cove Partners ➤ ag@jadecove.com ⊕ www.jadecove.com/research ➤ alexjadecove

**Veloz: Creating Sustainable Practices from Mine to Wheel and Beyond** 29 October 2020

